

Chief Regional Planner Tel.: 3325496 Fax No : 3320204 01-9250009A

राष्ट्रीय राजधानी क्षेत्र योजना वोर् NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION PLANNING BOARD 7th Floor, 'B' Wing, Janpath Bhawan, Janpath, शहरी विकास मंत्रालय (Ministry of Urban Development) नई दिल्ली-110001

No.K-14011/11/94(AP)-NCRPB(33rd)

New Delhi-110001 2-3-1994

MEETING NOTICE

Subject: 33rd Meeting of the Planning Committee of the NCR Planning Board to be held at 11.00 A.M. on 15-3-1994 in the office of NCR Planning Board, Janpath Bhawan, New Delhi.

The 33rd Meeting of the Planning Committee of NCR Planning Board will be held at 11.00 A.M. on 15-3-1994 in the office of the N C R Planning Board, 7th Floor, 3 Wing, Janpath Bhawan, New Delhi, to discuss the following deferred agenda items of the 32nd meeting of the Planning Committee held on 18-2-1994:-

1. Review of the action taken on decisions of 31st meeting of the NCR Planning Committee held on 16-2-1993.

(Note already circulated)

2. Alternatives for resource mobilisation to meet the requirements of the VIII Plan Investment Programme.

(revised note at Annexure - I)

You are requested to make it convenient to attend the meeting.

(R.C. Aggarwal)
Chief Regional Planner
&

Member-Convenor

To

- 1. Chairman and all members of the Planning Committee
- 2. All officers of the N C R Planning Board.

BY FAX/ SPEED POST :

ALTERNATIVES FOR RESOURCE MOBILISATION
TO MEET ADDITIONAL FINANCIAL
REQUIREMENTS OF THE VIII PLAN
INVESTMENT PROGRAMME.

In the 15th meeting of the NCRPB held in September 1992, a decision was taken to set-up a dedicated financial institution, to be called 'NCRDFC', as the main financing mechanism for implementation of the shared investment programme in the State Sector. The corresponding investment outlay approved by the Board for the 8th Plan period is as under:-

under.	1024
 Land Acquisition & Development in Priority & Two DMA towns. 	
2. Development of Sub-regional centres	136
3. Development of Counter-magnet areas	100
all other troper attributed and red on a	1260
- 6	or the Board

The intention, therefore, was for the Board to subscribe the entire Rs.400 crore expected to be received by it and credited to the statutory NCRPB Fund during the 8th Plan Period by way of

- Central budgetary support of Rs.200.00 crore
- -mombined matching contribution of Rs.200 crore from the participating State Govts. and the GNCT Delhi

in the equity capital of a company to be registered by it under the Indian Companies Act, 1956, (i.e. NCRDFC) NCRDFC, in turn, as a subsidiary/associate company of the Board, would proceed to raise additional equity as well as debt from financial institutions and also the capital market, both at home and abroad, finance the entire shared State-Sector programme of Rs.1260 crore, in the first instance.

The aforesaid proposal has, however, not been agreed to by the Central Government vide Ministry of Finance O.M. No. F.No.30 (4)PF.II/93 dated 4.10.93 (copy at appendix 1). The Ministry of Finance have, instead indicated that a tax-subsidy solution is being pursued as per a pending budget proposal of the Central Board of Direct Taxes.

It may be observed that no reasons whatsoever have been assigned for this summary rejection of the NCRDFC concept even though the feasibility report of M/s. JPS Associates, which was submitted recently in July, 1993, clearly establishes the viability of the proposed corporation on a

been taken into confidence with regard to the details of the tax-subsidy scheme which Finance Ministry is ostensibly mooting as an alternative instrument for chanalising private sector investment into large scale urban development either exclusively in NCR or the country as a whole.

As things stand, there appear to be only two basic alternative approaches to financing the said VIII Plan investment programme in terms of the overall investment strategies approved by NCR Planning Board in September, 1992

Alternative Approach = I (At the Apex Level Model)

(A) NCR Planning Board to raise the required additional investment funds either directly or through an associate financial institution i.e. NCRDFC, which may be either wholly owned by NCR Planning Board or set up as a joint sector company in conjunction with the private corporate sector and whose day to day management would be carried out by the latter. These three options are all found to be financially viable as per the feasibility report of M/s. JPS Associates.

Option I of JPS Associates Report of July, 1993.

Option-I of the said feasibility report, envisages direct borrowing by NCR Planning Board from both indigeneous and foreign funding agencies, for investment in the NCR accelerated development, vide the following overall pattern hiviz. The same of the same and the same than the same of the same than the same of the sa

a) Resource Mobilisation

Budgetary support from Central and the State Governments (including Rs.400 crore GNCT-Delhi) under the todies companies hely

Loans and Bonds

Rs.950 crore -13.11 Leguns malitus en samuelt becade toe samuel Rev. 1350 crore

pector programme of no.1250 trues, in the first instance. b) Resource Utilisation

Loans to implementing Agencies @ 15% p.a. Rs.1620 crore

Seed money for land acquisition (interest-free) Rs.1770 crore

Rs. 150 crore

resonations recommend up they be been supplied and On further examination, it is found that in addition to the collective contribution to be received by way of the stipulated budgetary support from Central and State Governments, NCR Planning Board would be earning Rs.60 crore as part of internal resources generated from the advanced to the State Government/Implementing Agencies from April, 1985 onwards. Thus armed with this additionality of funds, the total investible resources with the Board VIII Plan period could be proportionately increased, on the pattern recommended by JPS Associates, so as to enable Board to fund the entire, State Sector Shared programme partly uncovered segment of exclusive State sector programme and Central Sector programmes of the VIII Plan investment programme, totalling to Rs. 1900 crore.

A comprehensive statement indicating the fund flows as per CPS Report vis-a-vis that the corresponding projections made by the Board vis.a.vis this option is given in Appendix

The said investible resources of Rs.1500 crore Rs.400 crore (Rs.1900 crore) can be utilised for meeting the various components of the VIII Plan investment programme for NCR as follows:-

State Sector

Shared programme for land assembly for

new township, sub-regional centres and 1260 crore counter magnet areas

b) Exclusive State Sector Programmes Power T & D

475 crore

Central Sector Railways

ldam in shampulayan musuu ya vaa 1900 crore and the other makes article on the space part that the od makes made

En order to enable the NCR Planning Board to mobilise the expected additional resources by way of issuing bonds and raising loans, Government of India would need to accord its immediate approval in principle to the following:-

- providing requisite Government guarantee for floating bonds and treating its bonds on a) par with Government securities;
- allocating the requisite order of funds the NCRPB under LEBR in respect of loans b) be raised by financial institutions;
- permitting the NCR Planning Board to raise funds from the international agencies on the same terms and conditions as has allowed to public sector undertakings vide Ministry of Finance No.F.1 26-B (AC)/93 dated 2.4.93.

d) suitably strengthening the NCR Planning
Board to enable it to discharge its
consequential financial and related
administrative functions on sound
professional lines;

Incidentally, as per the legal advice tendered by Solicitor General of India, the Board has the requisite powers to borrow funds. Hence no specific enabling amendment is required to be made in NCR Planning Board Act, 1985 for the purpose.

As a concomitant to the above concept proposal, special revolving funds for the development of integrated townships/Sub-regional Centres/Counter-magnet areas, would need to be created in the Sub-regions of Haryana, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh respectively, with an aggregate capital of Rs.1260 crore, to be allocated State-wise, as under:-

*	1260.00
Punjab	20.00
Madhya Pradesh	20.00
Uttar Pradesh Sub-region	563.00
Rajasthan Sub-region	137.00
Haryana Sub-region	520.00

To make this possible, the Board has separately moved Ministry of Urban Development as well as the Planning Commission to see that the respective State Plan allocations for NCRPB Fund are suitably enhanced as per following table:-

		Plan provision already made by the Planning Commission	
Uttar Pradesh	67.50	45.00	22.50
Haryana	64.50	0.01	64.49
RAJASTHAN	18.00	17.50	0.50
DELHI	50.00	11.00	39.00
		MULLI PT	
	200.00	73.51	1,26.49
		Tarana -	

Governments/and GNCT-Delhi themselves ensure that (a) the necessary Plan provisions are made in accordance with the said matching-contribution proviso prescribed by the

(3

GOI/Planning Commission and approved by the National Development Council (NDC) for the VIII Plan period; and (b) these funds are released in a phased manner in accordance with the annual budgetary proportionate year-wise requirements of NCR Planning Board Fund.

pulliffely RDM , syour (A) on such about bode in Sa

Incidentally, sub-revolving funds could be created in due course, at the integrated township level, as part of the overall strategy approved by the Board. However, it is obvious that in the interests of greater efficiency and flexibility in utilising these resources for the optimum development of the various Sub-regional Priority Towns and Sub-Regional Centres, it would be most advantageous for a sub-regional Revolving Fund to be set up by each of the three participating States, in the first instance.

The concept of the revolving fund and the guidelines for its operation have already been considered and approved by the Planning Committee in its 29th meeting held on 20.3.1993.

B) The NCR Planning Board may float and undertake the formation of a joint sector company under private sector management at the apex level as per option IV of JPS Associates Report.

According to the recommendations of the consultants namely JPS Associates, a joint sector company under private sector management would be the best and the most preferred option for raising financial resources and ensuring their optimum utilisation for the development of NCR. According to cash flow statement drawn by the JPS Associates, the following would be the overall pattern of both resource mobilisation and resource utilisation under this alternative option at the apex level:

- (2) Resource Mobilisation
- i) Equity by NCR Planning Board financial institution, private sector and public subscription 1000 crore
 - ii) Loans, bonds, deposits etc. 990 crore
 - b) Resource Utilisation

Loans to implementing agencies at 15% 2070 crore

Seed money for the land acquisition 200 crore

2270 crore

As stated under item no.(A) above, NCR Planning would be earning Rs.60 crore by way of internal resources and thus the total investible funds available with the Board would be Rs. 460 crore. Based on this assumption, the Board has made detailed projections for resource mobilisation its utilisation (see Appendix III).

Thus, after fully financing the State sector programme of Rs. 1260 crore, this Joint Sector Company would have surplus funds to the extent of Rs.775 crore, which could be invested to cover part of the uncovered segments of the exclusive State Sector and Central Sector programmes as under:

a) State Sector (Power T & D) 475 crore

Central Sector b) (Railways)

775 crore

Incidentally under this mechanism of resource mobilisation, the Government of India would need to amend the NCR Planning Board Act to provide specific enabling to the Board to float a joint sector company under the Companies Act, 1956 and also to utilise the NCR Planning Board Fund vide section 22 of the NCR Planning Board Act, 1985 for the purpose of subscribing to the equity of the proposed company and also meeting the expenditures on formation of such a company.

Alternative Approach (Decentralised Model)

Participating State Governments to set up their joint-stock companies, to implement the shared State-Sector programmes at Sub-regional levels.

The newly-approved VIII Plan scheme for development of infrastructure for the other 5 megacities of Bombay, Calcutta, Madras, Hyderabad and Bangalore (Appendix IV), envisages the following pattern of funding for the VIII and IX Plan periods.

Budgetary	support of Central	Government	,,,,,,,	25%
	support of State			
Loans from	financial institut	cions	व्या विव	50%

In the meantime, in order to help implement the megacity project for Bangalore, Government of Karnataka had floated a joint-stock company called Karnataka Urban Infrastructure Development Finance Corporation under Indian Companies Act.

In a parallel effort, a joint-stock company has been set up to implement the proposed mass rapid transit scheme for Hyderabad City with participation of Government of India, Government of Andhra Pradesh and others in the following pattern:-

Ministry of Urban Development	15%
Govt. of Andhra Pradesh	10%
APSRTC	5%
BHEL	15%
TL&FS	15%
SCICI	15%
OTHER AGENCIES	25%
	100%

Recent newspaper reports indicate (Appendix V) that similar joint sector company is being set up for implementation of the RITES Plan for Delhi i.e. IMMRTS.

We also have before us the shining example of CIDCO, a wholly-owned company of Government of Maharashtra, which developing the new city of Bombay across Thane Creek on 6000 h.a. of land with which the State Government had the good sense to endow it over 20 years ago.

Keeping all these pioneering examples in mind, the participating State Governments of Haryana, Rajasthan and U.P. could themselves float similar joint-stock, infrastructure development companies, for accelerated development of their respective Sub-regions as per VIII Plan investment programme approved by NCR Planning Board. Accordingly, the broad pattern of funding for the proposed joint Stock companies at sub-regional level have been worked out as follows:

	PATTERN OF	RESOURCE MO	DRILISATION	-
	AS PROPOSED BY THE NCRPB (Rs. in	FOR MEGA C	ED BY THE GOVT. REMARKS	
	1) Equity Contributions			
	a) Central Govt. (through NCRPB Fund)		25%	
	b) State Govts (Direct)		Marii Rajasthan -	90 86 -24
			or Cutotha matters 2	200
	c) Private Sector	100		-
	Sub-total	500		
	2. LOANS: i) Financial Institutions			
	ii) International Agencies	400	towns and will	
	iii) NCRPB Fund: Internal Accruals)			
	iv) GNCT Delhi			
	Sub-total	910	U.P. deuty the	
, 1	3. Bonds & Deposits	190	aparo diministrati	

1600

100%

Grand Total

The main advantage of the concept scheme at Alternative is that it gives scope for participation of the private management and/or in the ownership sector corporate sub-regional/ development the proposed of. structure Tinancial to increase their overall in order companies: viability and improve the operational efficiency of on the lines envisaged vide option (IV) of the private sector report. In this way Associ**ates** development of NCR, which is not only an involvement in important ingredient of the new strategies and mechanisms approved by NCR Planning Board in September, 1992 also forms a keystone of the National Housing Policy of 1992, can be secured in a concrete and Resolution meaningful way, as and when required.

On the other hand, the drawback of resorting to a decentralised pattern of financing the NCR development programme vide above, is that it would virtually rule out the possibility of raising additional resources at the apex level for meeting essential seed money requirements of various regional-level Central/State investment programmes

such as

construction of Expressways & augmenting of rail commuter facilities in the Central Sector

execution of planned Inner & Outer (Road) Grids & Power T&D Strengthening Systems which are easily provided for under Alternative I above.

part of these uncovered programmes could perhaps be financed partly or wholly by the respective joint-stock companies to be set up at Sub-regional level, through a subsidiary joint stock company registered under the Companies Act, 1956, for

development of regional-level infrastructure in NCR.

Another drawback of the aforesaid decentralised model the 3 compared with the apex level approach) is that State Govts, would have to make much participating allocations by way of proportionate matching contributions than when compared to the funding pattern for the apex level approach approved by NCR Planning Board. Thus the specific plan allocation share of U.P. for NCR would increase crores to Rs.90 crores, the corresponding share of Rs.67.5 NCR to Rs.86 crores and from Rs.64.5 Haryana constitution of Rajasthan from Rs. 18 crore to Rs. 24 crore, for the VIII Plan period.

To sum up, therefore, members of NCR Planning Committee may signify their preferences with regard to the revised strategy for mobilising resources for the said State Sector component vide the following álternative conceptual approaches and related options (as per summary at Page 4.13) as against the existing Board decision to float its own associate company (NCRDFC) which Ministry of Finance appears to have vetoed without having seen the JPS Associates feasibility report of July, 1993.

		(A)							7. Alternative Approach - 11.									9					(pley refer now)	1.Alternative Approach I	•	STATE OF ERO	
			5	r	- 111		ii)		۵,	level.	at regional	sector Management	micer Frivate	זחידהל פ לחדור	forcing and	क्रंचक (४)				E CE VEE	Fojer Plans	firsting of	orilisation s	crim (a)		THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	
			Dischist a Logic &		111) FEIVACE SECTOR IN		State Govt.	(through NORES Fund)	.) Central Govt. 200	Fmity			20		Internal actions of the				! t:		Incernal accruais is		Bonds & Idans 1211	Budean sagar fi	Total Investible	ETTONES IN THE	
	1	1635 1635	1 15	=== (=================================		 	200			Seed Money	€		2035		(3) (2)									ji ki	1018 [E118]		A A L L MALLON
			æ				1260 crore	i		<u>~</u>	1260	1	1735		Leans 1485	250 Voney 250)	3	Cans - 1335	Ser Koney 100	State Sector	li di	
*	from finencial institutions.	out of IEE for loans	ii) Proportionate allocation		Section New York	buogetary succort b		77	forts, to set up their	i) Percicipating State					Act, 1985	Enabling profision		44.	recito firental			III, FELL SECTION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O			Es-calling		18. F. C.
	initias.			programe (Es. 1280 crose).	Cord. Through Nages Fund. State Sector shared	biogetary succost by Cermalthe investment gap under	sufficient only so cover	OTHER SERVICE TOTAL			would further increase by	Harvana Parachan and U.F	Watching contributions of	Flan Investment Frograme.	option (b) of NE VIII	and Rs. 775 ordre kräer	INVESTIGATION INVESTIGATION	Central/State Security	gart of explusive	TE (PS.1281 T., and	Sector charge program		PLAN ELECTRICATION		<u>ज्ञात मु</u>		

iii) Mabling provision to be made in Markers Act.

0

Δppendix - I

F.No.30 (4) PF.II/93
Government of India
Ministry of Finance
Department of Expenditure
Plan Finance.II Divn.

New Delhi, the 4th Oct. 1993

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

The undersigned is directed to refer to Ministry of Urban Development's D.O. No.K-14011/19/91-DDIB, dated, the 19th March, 1993, regarding setting up a subsidiary of financial institution called the NCR Development Finance Corporation in order to mobilise additional resources for the implementation of NCR Plan from the capital market as well as from the private sector and appropriately utilise the 8th Plan provision in the Central and State Sector for

2. In this connection, it is mentioned that the proposal of the Ministry of Urban Development has been examined in the Ministry of Finance and it has not been agreed to. However, it has been decided to pursue a tax-subsidy solution and the matter is being followed up by Foreign Investment Division as a budget proposal with Central Board of Direct Taxes.

This issues with the approval of Finance Secretary.

(M.S. VIRDI)

Joint Director (PF.11)

Tel.No.301 2668

Ministry of Urban Development, (Dr. P.S.A. Sundaram, Jt. Secretary), Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi.

Appendix-II

		24 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Resource Mobilisation	Option I as per JPS report	(Rs. in crore) Option I as per proposed by NCR
Contribution of Central Govt. through NCRPB Fund	200	200
Matching Contributions from State Governments including Delhi through NCRPB Fund.	200	200
Internal Accruals of NCRPB		
Bonds 12% Loans from Financial (50	60
institutions (13%)	700	750
Loans from International Agencies	200	Learned Epidenia to
Loan Recovery	171	ni moideredate
Interest Earned		498
	2059	2228
Resource Utilisation		o with the set of
Loans to implementing agencies 0 15% p.a.		Marrial Marria
Seed Money (interest free)	150	400
Interest on Loans	251	
Loan repayments	23	26
Operating Expenses	2	1
Interest on Bonds	- 12	14
Surplusgry sem)	1	2
TO L. Wes. 1911 Some	205 g	2228
		Witnessen, Hussen,

	Option IV as per JPS Report	per proposed)
RESOURCE MOBILISATION			
A. EOUITY			
i) NCRPB	240.00	460.00	
ii) FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS		173.00	
iii)PRIVATE SECTOR INCLUDE INTL. AGENCIES			
iv) PUBLIC SUBSCRIPTION	490.00		
B.CAPITAL MARKET BORROWING	A CALLET AND AND THE TIME		
i) BONDS (10 yrs 13% ii) DEPOSITS FROM PUBLIC (14% - 5 yrs.)	25.00	150.00	
ii)DEPOSITS FROM PUBLIC	15.00	10.00	
(14% - 5 yrs.)	ANGUL PER SULJED JOSEPH		
Carl Marie W. relies of general a re-			
C. LOANS			
i) FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS			
ii) INTERNATIONAL AGENCIES		650.00	
mail and langer bemost length largest awayers		300.00	
D. INTERNAL RESOURCES			
i) LOAN RECOVERIES	200.83	173.00	
ii)INTEREST EARNED	623.13	537.00	
g @	2813.96	2575.00	
RESOURCE UTILISATION			
LOANS TO IMPLEMENTING AGEN	CIES/		
STATE GOVERNMENTS @ 15%	2070.00	1785.00	
LAND ACQUISITION & INFRAST			
TURE INVESTMENTS (Interest	free) 200.00	250.00	
INTEREST ON LOANS	270.06	315.00	
LOAN REPAYMENT	30.95	40.00	
INTEREST ON BONDS	19.50	39.00	
DEPOSIT REPAYMENT		usmand me and al	
INTEREST ON DEPOSITS	6.30	18.00	
	2.00		
	162.63	45.00	
		45.00	
CLOSING BALANCE			
	2813.96	2575.00	
		MOTI LEHELE HARMAN	

Mega Cities Scheme

Carger metropolitan cities viz., Bombay, Calcutta and Madras make significant contributions to the national economy and therefore their prosperity and efficient functioning are of national concern. The State Governments are not in a position to make adequate investments in infrastructure in such cities on account of their limited resources and their priority to sectors like agriculture, irrigation education, health etc. The National Commission on Urbanisation (NCU) had therefore recommended that the State Governments may be provided Central assistance for development of infrastructure in such metropolitan cities.

On the basis of these recommendations and the requests received from the State Governments, the Planning Commission has decided to introduce a Centrally sponsored scheme for development of infrastructure in cities having a population of 4 million and above as per 1991 Census. The project package will consist of a mix of remunerative and non-remunerative schemes in order to enable the State Governments to recover the costs and repay the loans taken.

Proposals for Bombay, Calcutta, Madras and Hyderabad have been received from the Governments of Maharashtra, West Bengal, Tamil Nadu and Andhra Pradesh respectively and recommended to the Planning Commission. The proposal for Bangalore is under finalisation by the Government of Karnataka. The estimated cost of the projects are as under:

Bombay - 1217 crores
Calcutta - 1251 crores
Madras - 914 crores
Hyderabad - 913 crores
Bangalore - 1000 crores

Total - 5349 crores

The pattern of funding for the scheme would be as under:

Central Government - 25%
State Governments - 25%
Loans from financial institutions - 50%

The Mega city scheme will be implemented during the Eighth and Ninth Five Year Plans. The total requirements of funds for the proposed scheme is likely to be around Rs.5300 crores. The Government of India may have to contribute to the tune of Rs.1325 crores. Therefore, around Rs.700 crores are required to be provided by the Government of India during the VIII Plan period. An equal amount is also required to be provided in the State Plan Budget.

The National Development Council (NDC) has approved this scheme in principle and the Planning Commission has intimated the NDC's approval to this Ministry.

The Planning Commission has proposed an outlay of Rs.200 crores each to Bombay and Calcutta and Rs.100 crores for Madras. The proposed outlay in respect of Hyderabad and Bangalore will be finalised on receipt of detailed proposal for these cities. Matching provisions will have to be included in the State Annual Plans accordingly. As the Mega City scheme will continue during the 9th Plan period also, suitable provisions will have to be made for funding by both Central and State also, suitable provisions will have to be made for funding by both Central and State Governments. The Ministry is in the process of preparing a detailed scheme proposal for seeking approval of the PIB and the Cabinet.

The state of the s

way will be proposed by the point

marking and a read of an arm of a superior and a su

to this aim of the party of mining

pl nerven hap the part of the later to

MRTS from next year

By Jay Raina

NEW DELHI, Nov. 16
After decades of dithered discussions, the highly publicised Mass Rapid Transport System (MRTS) for Delhi is slated to take off early next year.

The principal component of the project connected with the funding has already been approved by the 'Committee of the Secretaries' of

the Union Government.

According to highly placed sources, with the Prime Minister having approved the scheme in principle, the formal approval of the Cabinet is expected by the end of December this year. 'The project will thereafter roll on the ground with the formation of a "Joint Stock Company, that will be empowered to implement the scheme,' the sources added.

The note on the funding of the project envisages budgetary allocation per year by the Centre to the tune of Rs 100 crores. The sum will be matched by an equal amount by the National Capital Territory Government of Delhi (NCT) in its

More resources are to be mobilised by charging a cess of 5 per cent on the sale of all petroleum products in Delhi and another cess of 5 per cent on the sales tax component of

the NCI revenues.

With an estimated sale of petroleum products worth Rs 3000 crores per year in Delhi, the 5 per cent cess will provide the MRTS project with at least Rs 150 crores per year from this component.

The sales tax cess will generate at least Rs 50 crores by year; the average collection of ST being counted

as Rs 1000 crores per year.

The note on resource mobilisation for the MRTS also envisages minor fine-tuning of revenue being generated from stump-duty and Motor Vehicles Tax component. The marginal increase in these areas will generate aother Rs 100 crores per year for the MICIS. The total revenue to be mobilised from all these sectors will be over Rs 500 crores each year. Sources in the Ministry of Urban Development and also Ministry of Surface Transport asserted that the sum is enough for the project to roll on with likely increase in resource mobilisation after each year with both the Central and Delhi budgets expanding in consequence of the heightened economic development. The cost escalations are also to be taken care of with the continued jump in tesources mobilisation by the NCT Government, each year.

While the efforts are aloot for registration of the 'Joint Stock Company' under the Company laws, a special task force is being created to set the basics of the project into mo-

tion.

The task force to be headed by a senior bureaucrat will comprise at least 20 other officers and staff with an input of professionals in finance and road-transport and railways. The staff may later on be absorbed into the Joint Stock Company to be headed by a professional manager with a proven track record.

with a proven track record.

The implementation of the composite MRTS for Delhi is to be phased out into a period of 20 years—the two phases being divided into 10 years each. The technical back up to the implementation of the project is to be provided by the RTTES—Rail India Technical and Feonomic Services Ltd.—a Railway Ministry

technical undertaking.

The Joint Stock Company may offer various project-works to a company or a consortium of companies on a 'turn-key basis'. Global tenders for such works are stated to be floated in this connection, sources indicated.

The RITES report that forms the basis of the Delhi Metro project envisages introduction of integrated multi-nodal mass transit system on a route of 184.5 kms. The project cleared by the Government comprises underground metro corridors of 27 kms, surface rail-road corridors of 140 kms., and a dedicated bus way of 17.5 kms.

Delhi's population as per the census figures of 1991 stood at 9.3 million. It is expected to rise to over 12.2 million by 2001 AD. Moreover.

floating population that moves in and out of the megalopolis is also estimated in laklis

The metro for Delhi had been considered many years ago after exploring various possible options of mass transit system for the Capital

The implementation of the MRTS project is likely to provide relief to nearly a crore of Delhiites choking under the weight of over 1400 tonnes of pollution released daily from over 20 lakh vehicles.

Sources indicated that besides providing the much needed relief to commuters, the spin off in consequence of the project works will be enormous. The multiplier effect in economic terms will be considerable with push up in the commercial and other inter-related activities of the Capital.



सं0 • के-14011/11/94-रा • रा • क्षे • यो • बो •।

राष्ट्रीय राजधानी क्षेत्र योजना बोर्ड NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION PLANNING BOARD 7th Floor, 'B' Wing, Janpath Bhawan, Janpath, शहरी विकास मंत्रालय (Ministry of Urban Development) नई दिल्ली-110001 4.5.94

New Delhi-110001 Fax No.: 3320204

विधय:- राष्ट्रीय राजधानी क्षेत्र योजना समिति की 33वी पंडणो्निंड है बैठक का 28 • 3 • 94 का आयोजन

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विध्य के संबंध में दिनांक 28 3 94 को राष्ट्रीय राजधानी क्षेत्र योजना बोर्ड की संगन्न 33वी बैठक के कार्यवृत सुचना एवं आवायक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित है।

can clan

्रुआर•सी•अप्रवाल्रू मुख्य क्षेत्रीय नियोजक

संलग्न:- उपरोक्तानुसार

MINUTES OF THE 33RD MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE (ADJOURNED)
HELD AT 11.30 A.M. ON 28.3.1994 IN THE OFFICE OF THE N C R
PLANNING BOARD, JANPATH BHAWAN, NEW DELHI.

List of participants is annexed.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 1: CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES OF THE 32ND MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON 18.2.94.

Minutes were confirmed.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 2 : REVIEW OF THE ACTION TAKEN ON THE DISCUSSIONS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON 16.12.93.

1) Sub-Regional Plan for NCT-Delhi.

The representative of Delhi Development Authority informed the Planning Committee that the draft Sub-regional Plan for Delhi was still pending before the NCR Steering Committee and that a meeting to consider and clear the same would be held very shortly by Chief Secretary, Delhi.

2) Time-bound Programme for the Preparation of ODPs and Formulation of Detailed Project Plan for Proposed Integrated Townships.

In the light of the current status of each case as separately reviewed by the Planning Committee at the meeting, the following decisions were taken viz.

A. HARYANA

- a) <u>Kundli</u> The final report of M/s. Kirloskar Ltd. with regard to the size and location of the wholesale fruit and vegetable markets etc., was still awaited. Accordingly, the completion date for both ODP and Detailed Project Report (DPR) may be further postponed to 30th September'94.
- b) <u>Bahadurgarh</u> ODP is ready and hence DPR may be finalised in the light thereof and submitted within 4 months, i.e. by 31st July, 1994.
- c) Rohtak The draft ODP having been finalised and submitted for approval to the Government of Haryana, the target date for completion of DPR may be rescheduled for 31.12.94 latest.
- d) Rewari ODP has been finalised and accordingly the DPR may be given a revised target date of 31.12.94.

- e) <u>Panipat</u> Development Plan is still under revision.

 Meanwhile the DPR being prepared by the TCS to be expedited for final submission in April/May'94.
 - f) <u>Dharuhera</u> ODP is under preparation and DPR must be ready in all respects by 31.12.94.
 - g) <u>Palwal</u> ODP is under finalisation and hence DPR may be prepared and submitted by 31st December, 1994 latest.

B. UTTAR PRADESH

- a) Meerut The Outline Development Plan (Draft Master Plan for Meerut) has been finalised and submitted to the Government of Uttar Pradesh. The draft DPR for Meerut which had been submitted to N C R Planning Board meanwhile, may be finalised at the earliest (April/May 1994).
- b) Hapur The ODP for Hapur is still under preparation and will be ready only by the end of May, 1994.

 Meanwhile, final report of TCS on project implementation may be expedited for final submission in April/May 1994.
- Bulandshahr-Khurja ODP (Draft Master Plan) for Bulandshahr and Khurja was ready. DPR which is in the final stages of preparation to be submitted to the NCR Planning Board by end May'94.

C. RAJASTHAN

The ODPs for Alwar and Bhiwadi have already been finalised. DPR for Alwar which is being finalised by CES to be expedited for submission by April/May'94. In the case of Bhiwadi, DPR which is in final stages of preparation, to be expedited for submission by end May'94.

Agenda Item No. 3 : Mid-Term Review. (Adjourned)

In-house GIS for NCRPB.

The Chief Regional Planner, NCR Planning Board informed the Committee that the main items of hardware had already been installed while orders had been placed for GIS software package. The digitisation of the updated base maps had also been started.

Agenda Item No. 4: Finalisation of the Draft Sub-Regional (Adjourned) Plan for Rajasthan.

The Planning Committee noted that Rajasthan Sub-Regional Plan had been finalised and was to be placed before the (Adjourned) 17th Board Meeting to be held on 23.4.94.

Agenda Item No. 5 : Separate Central University for NCR (Adjourned)

Chairman, Planning Committee stated that he had written to Secretary, Education, suggesting that a Task Force be constituted to go into the proposal for creating a separate central university for the NCR, as desired by Planning Committee.

Agenda Item No. 6: Unified Transport Agency for NCR: (Adjourned) Proposed Constitution and Functions.

The Planning Committee noted the proposal was being placed before the 17th meeting (Adjourned) of the Board for decision.

Agenda Item No. 7: Package of Incentives and Modalities for (Adjourned) Speedy Implementation of the Decentralisation Process.

Same as Item No. 6.

Agenda Item No. 8: Constitution of the Comprehensive Study (Adjourned) for the Integrated Townships of Bhiwadi and Dharuhera Complexes.

The Committee was informed that the technical and financial bids had been invited and that the study would be commissioned at the earliest.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 3 : APPROVAL OF DRAFT SUB-REGIONAL PLAN FOR HARYANA SUB-REGION OF THE NCR.

The Planning Committee noted the draft revised Sub-regional Plan prepared by Haryana was pending chiefly because of divergence of opinion between Government of Haryana and the Planning Committee on the following issues:

- a) The inclusion of the Sub-regional land use Plan.
- b) Provision for a 2 Km. green belt all along the border of Delhi in the Sub-Regional land use Plan.

Regarding (a), the Member Secretary explained the statutory requirements in terms of the NCRPB Act, 1985 and cited the

example of the other member States like U.P. and Rajasthan, which had prepared similar land use maps for incorporation in their respective Sub-regional Plans.

Regarding (b) also, it was pointed out that it was not the intention of the Planning Committee to undo any development plans already in force, but rather to see that all such development plans were duly incorporated in the proposed Sub-regional land use plan along with all other notified controlled areas in the State.

It was noted that the Director, Town and Country Planning Department (Haryana), Shri Bhaskar Chatterji, would be taking both the issues with Government of Haryana and replying as soon as possible.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 4: ALTERNATIVES FOR RESOURCE MOBILISATION TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE VIII PLAN INVESTMENT PROGRAMME.

This item could not be discussed in detail as the Secretaries from the participating States and the concerned Finance Secretaries from the participating States, who were specially invited for to attend the meeting, were not present.

No. K-14011/11/94/NCRPB

National Capital Region Planning Board 7th Floor, 'B' Wing, Janpath Bhawan, Janpath, New Delhi - 110 001.

Dated: 3-5-1994

(R.C. AGGARWAL) Chief Regional Planner

Copy to:

- 1. Chairman and all members of the Planning Committee
- 2. All officers of NCR Planning Board.

File: PC-2/AP/33mpc.imp

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

1. Shri Cecil Noronha,
Member Secretary, NCRPB

In Chair

- 2. Shri H.S. Mathur,
 Chief Town Planner NCR,
 Town & Planning Department,
 Government of Rajasthan,
 Jawaharlal Nehru Marg,
 Opp. Birla Mandir,
 Jaipur, Rajasthan.
- 3. Shri R.K. Sarkar,
 Executive Director (MTP)
 Railway Board,
 Ministry of Railways,
 Rail Bhawan, New Delhi 110 001.
- 4. Shri I.J. Mamtani,
 Chief Engineer,
 Ministry of Surface Transport,
 Parivahan Bhawan,
 Parliament Street, New Delhi 110 001.
- 5. Shri B.D. Gulati, Chief Coordinator Planner (NCR), NCR Planning Cell, Kothi No. 1095, Sector - 4, Gurgaon, Haryana.
- 6. Shri A.K. Puri,
 Director,
 Central Electricity Authority,
 Sewa Bhawan,
 R.K. Puram, New Delhi 110 066.
- 7. Shri S.K. Bansal,
 Assistant Secretary,
 Ministry of Power,
 Shram Shakti Bhawan,
 New Delhi.
- 8. Shri A.P. Tiwari,
 AAO (I),
 Regional Office, NCR HUDCO Building Centre,
 Outer Ring Road,
 Sarai Kaley Khan,
 East Nizammmuddin,
 New Delhi.
- 9. Dr. S.P. Bansal,
 Director (NCR & UE),
 Delhi Development Authority,
 Vikas Minar, New Delhi 110 002.

- 10. Shri C.L. Bali,
 District Town Planner,
 Government of Haryana,
 Kothi No. 1095, Sector 4,
 Gurgaon, Haryana.
- 11. Shri Anil Bhatnagar,
 Economic Planner,
 NCR Planning Cell,
 Town & Country Planning Department,
 Vikas Vihar, Mohan Puri,
 Meerut, U.P.
- 12. Shri C.S. Saini,
 Town & Country Planner,
 Town & Country Planning Organisation,
 Government of India,
 I.P. Estate, New Delhi 110 002.
- 13. Shri R.C. Aggarwal, Chief Regional Planner, NCRPB

- Member Convener

NCR Planning Board

- 1. Shri S. Arunachalam, Senior Planning Engineer
- 2. Shri R.P. Rastogi, Regional Planner
- 3. Shri K.L. Sachar, Finance & Accounts Officer
- 4. Shri V.K. Thakore, Senior Research Officer
- 5. Shri J.N. Barman, Associate Planner
- 6. Shri M.M.A. Baig, Assistant Town Planner
- 7. Shri P. Sisupalan, Research Officer
- 8. Shri Manmohan Singh, Research Officer
- 9. Shri N.K. Dhiren, Assistant Town Planner
- 10. Shri S. Surendra, Assistant Town Planner